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Abstract

This paper presents empirical evidence on the effects of increasing the Early Retirement Age on individuals’ labor supply. We examine the impacts of two pension reforms in Austria in 2000 and 2004 that increased the Early Retirement Ages (ERAs) for men and women. The empirical analysis uses administrative, matched employer-employee data covering all private sector employers and employees in Austria. This data allows us to distinguish between two retirement-related outcomes: pension claims and job exits. Nonparametric graphical evidence indicates that men and women delay their pension claims and job exits in response to the increased ERAs. Using this variation from the pension reforms, we estimate lower bounds for extensive margin labor supply elasticities. We also examine spillover effects to individuals not directly affected by the pension reforms. The results indicate relatively large extensive margin labor supply elasticities and significant spillover effects.
1 Introduction

In many countries, there has been increasing pressure for social security reform due to demographic transitions and the generosity of government-provided retirement benefits. With this increasing pressure for reform, researchers and policy-makers are seeking to understand how potential changes to social security systems are likely to affect individuals’ retirement decisions. In this paper, we present empirical evidence on the effects of one of the most widely discussed policy options. Specifically, we provide empirical evidence on the effects of increasing the Early Retirement Age on individuals’ retirement decisions.

Many social security systems are framed around two age thresholds: the Early Retirement Age (ERA) and the Normal Retirement Age (NRA). The ERA is the youngest age at which individuals can become eligible to claim government provided retirement pensions. The NRA is the age around which legislation is framed and benefits are computed; retirements at ages prior to the NRA are deemed “early” retirements and there may be bonuses (increased benefits) for late retirements or penalties (reduced benefits) for early retirements. While increasing the NRA can alleviate fiscal pressures primarily through reducing benefit levels, increasing the ERA can alleviate fiscal pressures by mechanically increasing the age at which individuals can start receiving benefits so individuals would receive benefits for a shorter time span.

To study the effects of increasing the ERA, we exploit policy variation from social security reforms in Austria. In the years 2000 and 2004, there were two pension reforms that increased the ERAs for men and women in Austria. The 2000 pension reform increased the ERAs by 1.5 years using incremental two-month increases for each quarterly birth cohort beginning with men born in the last quarter of 1940 and women born in the last quarter of 1945. The 2004 pension reform increased the ERAs first using the same incremental two-month increases for each quarterly birth cohort and then using incremental one-month increases for each quarterly birth cohort. These reforms allow us to compare outcomes across quarterly birth cohorts to identify the effects of increasing the ERAs on individuals’ retirement decisions.

The empirical analysis is based on administrative data from the Austrian Social Security Database. This database provides social security record data on all private sector employees in Austria. Furthermore, the administrative data allows us to distinguish between two retirement-related outcomes: pension claims and job exits. This distinction is important for measuring labor supply responses to changes in the ERA; pension claims mechanically adjust to changes in the ERA and individuals’ labor supply may not change if they are able to substitute to other social insurance programs. Economic models generally focus on individuals’ labor supply decisions, so researchers have generally sought to measure individuals’ work. However, because of data limitations, researchers have had to use a variety of different outcomes to measure retirement in practice. For example, studies have used self-reported retirement, time at work, reported job transitions, changes in wages or benefit claiming. By focusing on actual job exits, we are able to accurately measure retirement decisions relating to labor supply.
The empirical analysis is divided into multiple parts. First, we characterize the differences between job exits and pension claims. This analysis indicates that job exits occurring at the ERA or older ages generally correspond to pension claims. In particular, individuals exiting their jobs at these ages generally claim retirement pensions within 90 days of their exits. Job exits occurring prior to the ERAs do not always correspond to pension claims because only some men and women are able to be classified as disabled to claim disability pensions for retirement. Individuals who exit prior to the ERA and are unable to claim disability pensions must wait to claim pensions at either the ERA or the NRA.

Second, we provide evidence on the effects of increasing the ERA on pension claims and job exits. Time series graphs on average claiming and exiting ages by birth cohort show stable patterns prior to the pension reforms and then noticeable increases for the birth cohorts affected by the reforms. Histograms on the distributions of claiming and exiting ages by birth cohort confirm these changes in behaviors at the time of the pension reforms. Additionally, the histograms highlight consistent spikes for claims and exits at the ERAs for each cohort and that claiming and exiting at ages beyond the ERAs do not appear to have been affected significantly by the policy changes.

Third, we present regression evidence to quantify the labor supply responses to the increases in the ERAs. Specifically, we estimate changes in the probability of working and changes in individuals’ implicit tax rates on earnings from work. Combining these changes allows us to estimate bounds on extensive margin labor supply elasticities. We are only able to estimate lower bounds for these elasticities because the probability of retirement approaches the lower bound of zero following the increases in the ERAs.

Fourth, we examine spillover effects to individuals who were not directly affected by the pension reforms. In particular, the pension reforms specified that individuals with relatively high years of experience were exempt from the increased ERAs and they could continue to claim retirement pensions at the pre-reform ERAs. We examine changes in pension claiming and job exiting for these individuals that were exempt from the increased ERAs and find significant changes in their pension claiming and job exiting. In particular, the changes for these exempt individuals are similar to the changes for non-exempt individuals suggesting significant spillover effects.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we discuss the institutional background and data and empirical patterns of job exiting and pension claiming behaviors prior to the pension reforms. In Section 3, we present the main empirical analysis of the effects of the pension reforms on pension claims and job exits.

2 Institutional Background & Data

2.1 Retirement in Austria

Austria has a public pension system that automatically enrolls every person employed in the private sector. Fixed pension contributions are withheld from each individual’s wage and annuitized benefits during retirement are then based on prior contributions (earnings
histories). Replacement rates from the annual payments are roughly 75% of pre-retirement earnings. While there are some actuarial adjustments to benefits for delaying retirement to a later age, the system is actuarially unfair on average. Pension benefits are entirely withdrawn if an individual earns more than roughly 300 Euros per month; therefore very few individuals are observed returning to the labor force once they claim a pension.

Individuals can claim Disability pensions, Early Retirement pensions and Old Age pensions. Eligibility for each of these pensions depends on an individual’s age and gender, as well as having a sufficient number of insurance years or contribution years. Insurance years are determined based on time spent in employment, unemployment, sick leave, maternity leave and secondary education; contribution years are determined based on time spent in employment, including sick leave and maternity leave. In regard to Disability pensions, private sector male and female employees can claim Disability pensions beginning at age 55. For these pensions, disability is based on reduced working capacity of 50% relative to someone of a similar educational background. To claim a Disability pension, an individual must have at least 10 insurance years in the last 20 years or 15 contribution years in total. In regard to Early Retirement pensions, men and women become eligible for Early Retirement pensions at the Early Retirement Ages (ERA) which were 60 and 55 for men and women respectively. As we discuss in more detail below, these ERAs were increased in the 2000 and 2004 pension reforms. To claim an Early Retirement pension, an individual must have at least 35 insurance years. Lastly, in regard to Old Age pensions, men and women become eligible for Old Age pensions at the Normal Retirement Ages (NRA) which are age 65 and 60 respectively. To claim an Old Age pension, an individual must have at least 15 insurance years in the last 30 years, 15 contribution years in total or 20 insurance years in total.

Unemployment benefits can also affect individuals’ job exiting decisions. Prior to claiming a pensions, individuals can receive unemployment benefits that are roughly 55% of their net wage. Individuals are eligible to receive 20, 30, 39 or 52 weeks of benefits if they have respectively completed 1 year of employment in the last 2 years, 3 years of employment

---

1Given the generosity of the public pension system, private pensions are virtually non-existent in Austria. The monetary value of an individual’s social security benefit is computed as a product of two factors: (1) the assessment basis, which is an earnings history measure similar to the average indexed monthly earnings (AIME) in the U.S. and (2) the pension coefficient, which is a percentage that is applied to the assessment basis. The pension coefficient is increasing in the individual’s retirement age and his insurance years (years of labor market experience) up to a maximum of 80%. The assessment basis is an inflation-adjusted average of the individual’s annual earnings over the last 15 years. Prior to 2001, old-age, early retirement and disability pensions were computed identically; in 2001 and after, a reduction was applied to the pension coefficient for disability pensions.

2It is possible to claim a partial pension and receive partial benefits while continuing to work. Very few individuals claim these pensions so we exclude them from our analysis.

3It is also possible to receive disability pensions prior to age 55; these benefits are based on permanent disability status.

4Benefits from disability and early retirement are entirely withdrawn if an individual earns more than about 300 Euros per month; therefore we see very few individuals returning to the labor force once they are retired.
in the last 5 years, 7 years of employment in the last 10 years, or 9 years of employment in the last 15. Individuals who enter unemployment through voluntary quits face a four-week waiting period to be able to receive their benefits; individuals entering unemployment through an involuntary separation do not face this waiting period.

2.2 Pension Reforms

Pension reforms in 2000 and 2004 increased the Early Retirement Ages (ERAs) for men and women. These increases in the ERAs are illustrated in Figure 1. The 2000 pension reform increased the ERAs by 1.5 years from 60 and 55 to 61.5 and 56.5 for men and women respectively. The reform was announced in July of 2000, and the increases in the ERAs were phased in between October of 2000 to October of 2002. Specifically, men born in the fourth quarter of 1940 faced an ERA of 60 and 2 months, and each subsequent quarterly birth cohort faced an ERA that was 2 months higher than the previous cohort. For women, the 2-month increases for each quarterly birth cohort started with women born in the fourth quarter of 1945. Men and women with 45 and 40 insurance years were exempt from the increases in the ERAs and hence could continue to claim pensions at 60 and 55.

The 2004 pension reform continued to increase the ERAs for men and women. This reform was announced in June of 2003 and took effect on January 1, 2004. The ERAs were increased by two months for each quarter of birth for men born in the first two quarters of 2003 and women born in the first two quarters of 1948. Following these increases, the ERAs were increased by one month for each quarter of birth for men born in the third quarter of 1943 and later and for women born in the third quarter of 1948 and later. As with the 2000 pension reform, men and women with 45 and 40 insurance years were exempt from the increases in the ERAs under the 2004 pension reform. Furthermore, the 2004 pension reform also created special corridor pensions for men born in the last quarter of 1943 and later. The minimum entry age for these corridor pensions was 62, thereby making the ERA beyond age 62 non-binding in many cases.\(^5\)

2.3 Data & Sample Restrictions

Our empirical analysis is based on administrative, matched employer-employee data from the Austrian Social Security Database (ASSD, see Zweimüller et al (2009)). This data is collected with the principle aim of verifying individual pension claims and computing individuals’ pension benefits. The data provide longitudinal information for the universe of private sector workers in Austria throughout their working lives. Specifically, information is collected on employment and earnings as well as other labor market states relevant for computing insurance years such as military service, unemployment, maternity leave and sick leave. In each calendar year, individuals’ work histories are summarized in spells that have

\(^5\)Corridor pensions could be claimed by men who (1) were born in the fourth quarter of 1943 or later, (2) reached age 62 with at least 37.5 insurance years and (3) were employed or receiving UI benefits. With the corridor pensions, re small reduction on benefit amounts was imposed as a penalty for early claiming.
a maximum length of 365 (or 366) days. Total earnings are reported for each employment spell. Additionally, information is recorded on the lengths of spells with receipt of benefits from unemployment, disability and pensions.

The data is collected from 1972 onwards, though some information prior to 1972 is available. In particular, detailed electronic records with employer identifiers are recorded from January 1, 1972 onwards. The combination of the employer identifiers and individual employment spells allows for construction of firm-level variables such as firm size, hires and exits. Additional information on industry and region is also recorded for each employer. For the years prior to 1972, retrospective information on states relevant for computing insurance years is available for all individuals who have retired by the end of the observation period. Combining the administrative data from 1972 onwards and the retrospective data prior to 1972 yields information on complete earnings and employment careers of retirees. In the empirical analysis, we use information through 2009.

We use the administrative data to analyze the effects of increasing the ERAs on labor supply decisions of older workers and labor demand decisions of employers. We construct the sample for the labor supply analysis by starting with all men born between 1930 and 1947 and women born between 1935 and 1952. We exclude the following sets of individuals: individuals who are not Austrian citizens, individuals who die before age 65, individuals who are last employed prior to age 53, individuals who have 1 or more years of self-employment, and individuals in government-dominated industries at older ages. The sample restrictions are summarized in Table A1 in the Appendix. After imposing the sample restrictions, our sample consists of 299,789 men and 290,412 women. In our analysis of labor supply decisions, we impose further sample restrictions to focus on particular age ranges; we discuss these further restrictions in the empirical analysis section below.

### 2.4 Pension Claims & Job Exits

Before analyzing the effects of the pension reforms on changes to pension claims and job exits, we characterize pension claiming and job exiting patterns prior to the pension reforms. Figure 2 illustrates survival functions for pension claims and job exits from the labor force for the sample of private sector employees who were not affected by any increases in the ERAs. In particular, the series for men are based on birth cohorts 1930 through 1939 and the series for women are based on birth cohorts 1935 through 1944. The series are presented separately for men and women given the different eligibility ages. The survival functions illustrate sharp declines at ages 55 and 60 highlighting a significant amount of entry into the pension system once individuals become eligible for the Early Retirement pensions. Additionally, the figure demonstrates that, for both men and women, most claims

---

6Because there are no rules specifying distinctions between firms and plants, the employer identifier does not distinguish between firms and plants.

7We exclude self-employed individuals from the analysis because pensions for self-employed individuals are determined under separate rules from those of private sector employees. Examples of government-dominated are education, railways, and public administration. We exclude these individuals since pensions for civil servants are also determined under separate rules from those of private sector employees.
and exits occur between ages 55 and 60. Further, the graph shows that roughly 40% of the male sample claims disability pensions prior to age 60.

For men and women respectively, there are small but noticeable increases in job exits at ages 59 and 54 that are not accompanied by increases in pension claims. Many of these exits correspond to exits into unemployment insurance. At these ages, many individuals are eligible for 52 weeks of UI benefits (roughly 55% of their net wages) as they have completed 9 years of employment out of the last 15 years. Thus, many men exiting at age 59 and women exiting at age 54 can receive UI benefits for 1 year and then claim their pensions at ages 60 and 55 respectively.

Next, we focus on the time between pension claims and job exits. The series in Figure 2 highlight that job exits generally occur prior to pension claims. This could be driven by responses to pension rules that suspend an individual’s benefits if the individual’s labor market earnings exceed roughly 300 euros per month. In Figure 2, the differences between claims and exits for men suggest that men exiting at each age prior to age 60 generally claim their pensions either at their exit ages if they are permitted to claim disability pensions or at age 60 when they qualify for early retirement pensions. For women, the survival curves in Figure 2 indicate that, between ages 55 and 60, job exits generally correspond to pension claims. Prior to age 55, many women exit their jobs and these women claim pensions either at age 55 if they are eligible for early retirement pensions or at age 60 once they are eligible for old age pensions at the Normal Retirement Age.

The patterns on time between pension claims and job exits from Figure 2 are confirmed in Figures 3A&B. Specifically, these figures plot the distribution of time between pension claims and job exits with time defined at a quarterly frequency. In these plots, a positive time corresponds to a pension claim occurring after a job exit. For men, job exits at ages prior to age 60 generally correspond to pension claims at the exit ages or at ERA, age 60. Similarly for women, the plots indicate that exits at each age between 55 and 60 generally correspond to pension claims at the exit age or at the NRA, age 60.

3 Empirical Analysis: Effects on Increasing the ERA

This section presents the empirical analysis on the labor supply effects of the increases in the ERA. The first part of the section presents graphical evidence to illustrate changes in pension claiming and job exiting behavior due to the pension reforms. The second part of the section focuses on developing and estimating a regression specification to quantify the labor supply effects of the policy changes. The last part of the section presents some further analysis.

3.1 Graphical Evidence

Figure 4 presents plots of the average pension claiming and job exiting ages by birth cohort and gender. In particular, each point in these plots identifies individuals by their birth cohort at a quarterly frequency, and for individuals within each birth quarter, the
average claiming and exiting ages are computed. The series for the average exiting ages is always below the average claiming age series; this reflects that, even after the pension reforms, most individuals exit their jobs before they claim a retirement pension. The plots highlight that, prior to the 2000 pension reform, average claiming and exiting ages seem relatively stable. For the cohorts affected by the pension reform, average claiming and exiting ages increase distinctly. In particular, for both men and women, both claiming and exiting ages appear to increase by roughly one year.

Figure 5 presents evidence on the average time between job exits and pension claims by birth cohort and gender. The series for men and women show noticeable increases in the time between claims and exits when the 2000 pension reform is implemented. Intuitively, when the ERA increases, employed individuals may delay their job exits by a corresponding amount of time. However, individuals who exited prior to the ERA increases being implemented will be forced to delay their pension claims and this will cause an increase in averaged time between pension claims and job exits.

To better illustrate the changes in claims and exits at specific ages, Figure 6 presents panels of histograms of claiming and exiting ages for select cohorts. Each histogram has vertical red lines that mark the ERAs that apply to individuals in the specified cohort. The multiple ERAs, and hence multiple vertical red lines, for some histograms, reflects that some individuals in the cohort with high insurance years face a lower ERA than individuals with lower insurance years. Consistent with the previous figures, the histograms highlight the increases in claims and exits at older ages as the ERAs increase. In particular, the histograms reveal consistent spikes for claims and exits at the ERAs for each cohort. Claims and exits at ages beyond the ERAs do not appear to have been affected significantly by the policy changes. The histograms emphasize that claims and exits at specific, affected ages closely track the increases in the ERAs. Intuitively, individuals appear to delay their pension claims and job exits as the ERAs increase, but many of these individuals continue to claim and exit exactly at the specified ERA for their birth cohort.

3.2 Regression Specification & Results

In this section, we focus on quantifying the changes in pension claiming and job exiting when the ERA increases and examining these changes when controlling for observable individual characteristics. We use a regression specification to model claiming and exiting rates as functions of age effects, birth cohort effects, indicators for the cohort-specific ERA and other individual-level covariates. When estimating these regressions, we use panel data with individual-age observations where age is measured at a quarterly frequency. We use observations starting at ages 59 for men and 54 for women. We do not use observations from earlier ages because claims and exits for disability pensions may not be driven by the ERAs and hence we do not want to use this variation to estimate the effects of the ERAs.

---

8We avoid using higher frequency data since (1) the quarterly frequency is sufficient to exploit variation from the pension reforms, (2) many covariates do not vary at a higher frequency level, and (3) higher frequency data is computationally burdensome given the large sample of individuals.
at specific ages. Additionally, we use observations up until an individual’s ERA based on the individual’s birth cohort and insurance years.\footnote{For all cohorts, men and women with $\geq 45$ and $\geq 40$ insurance years are respectively censored at ages 60 and 55.}

With this panel data, we estimate the following regression specification,

$$y_{ict} = \theta_t + \delta_{ct} * ERA_{ict} + \gamma_c + \beta'X_{ict} + \varepsilon_{ict}. \quad (1)$$

The dependent variable $y_{ict}$ is an indicator equal to 1 if individual $i$ in birth cohort $c$ claims or exits at age $t$. Separate regressions are estimated for claims and exits and for each gender. The coefficients $\theta_t$ capture age-specific hazard rates when the ERA is at an age higher than $t$. The variable $ERA_{ict}$ captures the cohort-specific and insurance year specific ERA for individual $i$; in particular, $ERA_{ict}$ is an indicator variable equal to 1 if age $t$ corresponds to the ERA for individual $i$ in cohort $c$. The coefficients $\delta_{ct}$ therefore capture the marginal effects of having the ERA at age $t$ on the hazard rate at age $t$ and the sum $\theta_t + \delta_{ct}$ captures the hazard rate at age $t$ when the ERA is at age $t$. Lastly, the terms $\gamma_c$, $X_{ict}$, and $\varepsilon_{ict}$ are cohort dummies, individual-level covariates and the error term respectively.

Tables 3 and 4 present the estimated age effects and marginal effects from the ERAs from the regressions for men and women respectively. To better illustrate the results, we present plots of these estimated coefficients in Figure 7. Specifically, within each gender and retirement outcome, we plot the hazard rate at a given age $t$ when the ERA is at that age (i.e. $\hat{\theta}_t + \hat{\delta}_{ct}$) and the hazard rate at that same age when the ERA increases to a higher age (i.e. $\hat{\theta}_t$). The plots are based on the estimated coefficients when including a full set of individual-level covariates. We focus first on the pension claiming results for men in Figure 7A. This plot illustrates that, when the ERA for men is at age 60, the hazard rate to pension claims is roughly 0.6. When the ERA increases to a higher age, the hazard rate to pension claims falls to nearly 0. A similar pattern holds for higher ages as well, indicating that pension claims at a given age at much higher when the ERA is at that age. While the claiming effects may be mechanical, Figure 7B illustrates a similar pattern for job exits. In particular, when the ERA is at a given age $t$, the hazard rate into job exits is much higher then when the ERA increases to higher ages. Thus, even after accounting for control variables, hazard rates for job exits decrease by almost 100% when the ERA increases. Figures 7C&D show similar patterns for pension claims and job exits for women, though the levels of the hazard rates for women are generally lower than those for men since fewer women retire at their ERA than men (as indicated in the survival curves in Figure 2).

### 3.3 Labor Supply Elasticities

To compute labor supply elasticities, we have to relate the participation responses from above to the financial incentives from the ERA change. We proceed according to
the following steps. First, we compute implicit tax rates on gross earnings at the early retirement age for each individual. The implicit tax rate measures the tax rate applied to gross earnings that results in gross earnings net of taxes and benefits (see Gruber and Wise (1999 and 2004) for more discussion on implicit tax rates from social security benefits). Intuitively, the implicit tax rate captures overall financial incentives for continued work, since it reflects after-tax compensation beyond the benefits an individual would receive if he retired. Since the increases in the ERAs changed access to retirement benefits, the reforms created changes in individuals’ implicit tax rates at the ERAs. The second step focuses on quantifying the changes in implicit tax rates at the ERAs. To do this, we estimate a analogous regression model as the one specified in equation (1) using as the dependent variable \( \ln(1 - \tau_{ict}) \), where \( \tau_{ict} \) is the implicit tax rate for individual \( i \) in cohort \( c \) at age \( t \). The coefficients of this regression capture the determinants of changes in the net of tax rates as the ERAs increase. The third step computes labor supply elasticities based on the ratios of the estimated coefficients on the \( ERA_{ict} \) indicator variable from the two regression equations. Intuitively, the first regression equation allow us to compute changes in the probability of working as the ERA increases, and the second equation will allow us to compute changes in the net-of-tax rates as the ERA increases. Because the probability of working has an upper bound of 1 and we see large increases in the probability of working at ages just below the ERA from the policy reform, our elasticity estimates represent lower bounds for the labor supply elasticities (i.e. given the change in financial incentives, individuals may want to increase their work by more than can be observed given the upper bound).

The labor supply elasticity estimates are reported in Table 5, separately for men and women. The labor supply elasticities implied by our estimates are around 0.4 for men, but they are significantly less than half as large for women. Women basically reduce their probability of exiting jobs at ages below the ERA to zero as the early retirement age is increased by the reforms. By definition we cannot observe a retirement probability below zero, thus we interpret the elasticity estimates as lower bounds.

### 3.4 Spillover Effects & Further Analysis

The legislation of the 2000 and 2004 pension reforms specified that men and women with more than 45 and 40 insurance years respectively were exempt from increases in the ERAs and could still claim at ages 60 and 55 respectively. To examine differences in behavior between individuals above and below these insurance year thresholds, and to examine persistence in how the hazard rates at specific ages change once the ERA moves to a higher age, Figure 9 presents the hazard rates at ages 60 (men) and 55 (women) by birth cohort. We highlight two features of these plots. First, the plots indicate that changes in the hazard rates for claims and exits at these ages once the ERA increases are persistent. In particular, once the ERA moves to a higher age, the hazard rate falls and remains persistently low for subsequent birth cohorts. Second, the plots indicate that, even though they were exempt from the increases in the ERA, men and women with more than
45 and 40 insurance years at ages 60 and 55 respectively still adjusted their behavior to delay pension claims and job exits. This indicates that information about the increased ERAs may have played an important role. Since the exemptions to the increased ERAs may not have applied to a majority of individuals, the increased ERAs may have been emphasized in the media or by government officials and this emphasis may have caused some exempt individuals to make decisions based on the increased ERAs.

To quantify the spillover effects, we plot regression coefficients from estimating equation (1) for job exits on the sample of individuals with long insurance years in Figure 8. The patterns are remarkably similar to the ones for population directly affected by the reforms in Figure 7. But the magnitude of the effects is smaller.

We next turn to examining whether there is evidence of changes in behavior at younger ages for more recent birth cohorts following the implementation of the pension reforms. Intuitively, individuals in more recent birth cohorts (or employers employing these individuals) may anticipate the increased ERAs and adjust their behaviors. Figure 10 presents evidence on disability pension claims since individuals may substitute to disability pension claims at earlier ages in response to the increased ERAs. For both men and women, there is no clear evidence of significant substitution to disability pensions amongst more recent birth cohorts. Figure 11 presents evidence on the earnings distribution by birth cohort and gender. Intuitively, individuals in more recent birth cohorts may adjust their intensive margin labor supply or employers may adjust their compensation schemes in response to the increased ERAs. The evidence for multiple percentiles of the earnings distributions within each birth cohort and gender do not present any clear evidence of changes in annual earnings at age 53 for more recent birth cohorts relative to earlier cohorts.
Fig. 1. Early Retirement Ages by Pension Type

A. Men

B. Women

Notes: The first two vertical lines mark the beginning and ending of changes implemented under the 2000 pension reform. The third vertical line marks the beginning of changes implemented under the 2004 pension reform.
Fig. 2. Survival Curves for Pension Claims & Job Exits

A. Men

B. Women

Notes: For computing the survival curves, the sample is restricted to pre-reform birth cohorts (1930 through 1939 for men and 1935 through 1944 for women) and also to individuals for whom a claim is observed prior to age 70. See Table 1 for the full sample restrictions.
Fig. 3A. Distribution of Time Between Job Exits & Pension Claims, Men

Notes: Each histogram plots the distribution of time between job exit dates and pension claim dates (claim date minus exit date; a positive time indicates that an individual claimed a pension after exit his or her job). The time between job exits and pension claims is computed at a quarterly frequency. Exit age is also computed at a quarterly frequency; each plot includes individuals who exited within one quarter of completing the specified age. For these plots, the sample is restricted to pre-reform birth cohorts (1930 through 1939 for men and 1935 through 1944 for women). Vertical red lines mark time 0 and the time corresponding to age 60.
Fig. 3B. Distribution of Time Between Job Exits & Pension Claims, Women

Notes: Each histogram plots the distribution of time between job exit dates and pension claim dates (claim date minus exit date; a positive time indicates that an individual claimed a pension after exit his or her job). The time between job exits and pension claims is computed at a quarterly frequency. Exit age is also computed at a quarterly frequency; each plot includes individuals who exited within one quarter of completing the specified age. For these plots, the sample is restricted to pre-reform birth cohorts (1930 through 1939 for men and 1935 through 1944 for women). Vertical red lines mark time 0 and the time corresponding to age 60.
Fig. 4. Average Claiming & Exit Ages by Cohort

A. Men
B. Women

Notes: For the average claim ages for men, individuals claiming at ages 65 and older are excluded since these ages are not observed for the most recent cohorts.
Fig. 5. Average Years between Job Exit & Pension Claims

Notes: These plots present time series on average time between job exits and pension claims for individuals who exit in the age ranges affected by the pension reforms. The plot for men is based on the sample of men who exit between ages 60 and 63; the plot for women is based on the sample of women who exit prior to age 58. Positive time between exits and claims indicate that pension claims occur after job exits.
Notes: Each histogram plots the distribution of claiming ages where claiming age is computed at a quarterly frequency based on time between an individual's birth date and pension claiming date. Birth cohort is also computed at a quarterly frequency; each plot includes individuals who were born in the first quarter of the specified birth year. Vertical red lines denote Early Retirement Ages.
Fig. 6B. Men’s Job Exiting Ages by Cohort

Notes: Each histogram plots the distribution of claiming ages where claiming age is computed at a quarterly frequency based on time between an individual’s birth date and pension claiming date. Birth cohort is also computed at a quarterly frequency; each plot includes individuals who were born in the first quarter of the specified birth year. Vertical red lines denote Early Retirement Ages.
Fig. 6C. Women’s Pension Claiming Ages by Cohort

Notes: Each histogram plots the distribution of claiming ages where claiming age is computed at a quarterly frequency based on time between an individual’s birth date and pension claiming date. Birth cohort is also computed at a quarterly frequency; each plot includes individuals who were born in the first quarter of the specified birth year. Vertical red lines denote Early Retirement Ages.
Fig. 6D. Women’s Job Exiting Ages by Cohort

Notes: Each histogram plots the distribution of claiming ages where claiming age is computed at a quarterly frequency based on time between an individual’s birth date and pension claiming date. Birth cohort is also computed at a quarterly frequency; each plot includes individuals who were born in the first quarter of the specified birth year. Vertical red lines denote Early Retirement Ages.
Fig. 7. Hazard Rate Models, Men

A. Pension Claims

B. Job Exits

Notes: These figures illustrate estimated coefficients from regressing a retirement indicator on age dummies, age dummies interacted with an Early Retirement Age indicator and control variables. These regressions are based on panel data with person-age observations and age is computed at a quarterly frequency. As specified in the respective figures, the retirement outcome is defined in terms of claiming or exiting within the specified quarterly age. Regressions for men and women are estimated separately. For men, the regressions include observations from ages 59 through 62 and birth cohorts 1939 through 1947. For women, the regressions include observations from age 54 through age 57.75 and birth cohorts 1944 through 1952. Vertical lines on each bar reflect 95% confidence intervals based on standard errors for the estimated coefficients; the standard errors are clustered at the individual level. The control variables included in the regression are birth cohort dummies (quarterly frequency), dummies for insurance years (for men: <30, 30-35, 35-40, 40-45, ≥45 insurance years; for women: <30, 30-35, 35-40, ≥40 insurance years), dummies for percentiles of average earnings between ages 50 through 54, dummies for firm size at the last job (0-4, 5-9, 10-24, 25-49, 50-99, 100-199, 200-499, 500-999, ≥1000 employees), dummies for total days receiving unemployment insurance through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, 91-180, 181-365, 366-730, ≥731 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits between age 55 through age 59 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), and dummies for weeks of unemployment insurance eligibility (20, 30, 39, 52 weeks).
Fig. 7. Hazard Rate Models, Women

Notes: These figures illustrate estimated coefficients from regressing a retirement indicator on age dummies, age dummies interacted with an Early Retirement Age indicator and control variables. These regressions are based on panel data with person-age observations and age is computed at a quarterly frequency. As specified in the respective figures, the retirement outcome is defined in terms of claiming or exiting within the specified quarterly age. Regressions for men and women are estimated separately. For men, the regressions include observations from ages 59 through 62 and birth cohorts 1939 through 1947. For women, the regressions include observations from age 54 through age 57.75 and birth cohorts 1944 through 1952. Vertical lines on each bar reflect 95% confidence intervals based on standard errors for the estimated coefficients; the standard errors are clustered at the individual level. The control variables included in the regression are birth cohort dummies (quarterly frequency), dummies for insurance years (for men: <30, 30-35, 35-40, 40-45, ≥45 insurance years; for women: <30, 30-35, 35-40, ≥40 insurance years), dummies for percentiles of average earnings between ages 50 through 54, dummies for firm size at the last job (0-4, 5-9, 10-24, 25-49, 50-99, 100-199, 200-499, 500-999, ≥1000 employees), dummies for total days receiving unemployment insurance through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, 91-180, 181-365, 366-730, ≥731 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits between age 55 through age 59 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), and dummies for weeks of unemployment insurance eligibility (20, 30, 39, 52 weeks).
Fig. 8. Hazard Rate Models, Individuals with High Insurance Years

Notes: These figures illustrate estimated coefficients from regressing a retirement indicator on age dummies, age dummies interacted with an Early Retirement Age indicator and control variables. These regressions are based on panel data with person-age observations and age is computed at a quarterly frequency. As specified in the respective figures, the retirement outcome is defined in terms of claiming or exiting within the specified quarterly age. Regressions for men and women are estimated separately. High experience is defined as having greater than or equal to 45 insurance years at age 60 for men, and having greater than or equal to 40 insurance years at age 55 for women; these individuals were exempt from the legislated increases in the Early Retirement Ages. For men, the regressions include high experience individuals and observations from ages 59 through 62 and birth cohorts 1939 through 1947. For women, the regressions include high experience individuals and observations from age 54 through age 57.75 and birth cohorts 1944 through 1952. Vertical lines on each bar reflect 95% confidence intervals based on standard errors for the estimated coefficients; the standard errors are clustered at the individual level. The control variables included in the regression are birth cohort dummies (quarterly frequency), dummies for insurance years (for men: <30, 30-35, 35-40, 40-45, ≥45 insurance years; for women: <30, 30-35, 35-40, ≥40 insurance years), dummies for percentiles of average earnings between ages 50 through 54, dummies for firm size at the last job (0-4, 5-9, 10-24, 25-49, 50-99, 100-199, 200-499, 500-999, ≥1000 employees), dummies for total days receiving unemployment insurance through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, 91-180, 181-365, 366-730, ≥731 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits between age 55 through age 59 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), and dummies for weeks of unemployment insurance eligibility (20, 30, 39, 52 weeks).
Notes: Each figure plots the fraction individuals still in the labor market who claim pensions or exit jobs by birth cohort. Women with 40 or more insurance years and men with 45 or more insurance years are exempt from the increases in the Early Retirement Ages and can continue to retire at ages 55 and 60 respectively. The sample is restricted to men ages 59 through 62 in birth cohorts 1939 through 1947 and women ages 54 through 57.75 in birth cohorts 1944 through 1952. Observations are censored at the Early Retirement Age specified for each individual.
Fig. 9. Claiming & Exiting by Birth Cohort & Insurance Years, Women

Notes: Each figure plots the fraction individuals still in the labor market who claim pensions or exit jobs by birth cohort. Women with 40 or more insurance years and men with 45 or more insurance years are exempt from the increases in the Early Retirement Ages and can continue to retire at ages 55 and 60 respectively. The sample is restricted to men ages 59 through 62 in birth cohorts 1939 through 1947 and women ages 54 through 57.75 in birth cohorts 1944 through 1952. Observations are censored at the Early Retirement Age specified for each individual.
Fig. 10. Fraction Claiming Disability Pensions by Birth Cohort

A. Men

B. Women

Notes: Disability pension claims are specified based on the types of social security pensions claimed. Birth cohort is computed at a quarterly frequency.
Fig. 11. Pre-Retirement (Age 53) Earnings Distribution by Birth Cohort

Notes: This figures plot percentiles of the earnings distributions at age 53 within each birth cohort and gender. Birth cohort is computed at a quarterly frequency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th># of Men</th>
<th># of Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Sample</td>
<td>613,491</td>
<td>587,985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. After excluding non-Austrian citizens</td>
<td>554,756</td>
<td>551,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. After excluding individuals dying before age 65</td>
<td>495,986</td>
<td>525,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. After excluding individuals exiting before age 53</td>
<td>374,521</td>
<td>349,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. After Excluding Individuals with 1 or more years of self-employment</td>
<td>324,761</td>
<td>317,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. After Excluding Individuals in publicly-owned industries at ages 50 or older</td>
<td>299,789</td>
<td>290,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims through December 31, 2008</td>
<td>282,556</td>
<td>241,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exits through December 31, 2008</td>
<td>291,149</td>
<td>253,944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: In restriction (3), 2 individuals are also dropped for missing exit dates. The initial sample is based on cohorts 1930-1947 for men and cohorts 1935 through 1952 for women.
### Table 2
**Summary Statistics**

#### Panel A. Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Job Exit</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Earnings at Age 54</th>
<th>Censored Earnings</th>
<th>Insurance Years at 54</th>
<th>Positive Sick Leave, Ages 50-54</th>
<th>Positive Unemployment, Ages 50-54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>11,839</td>
<td>2,773</td>
<td>0,632</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>28,077</td>
<td>36,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>16,705</td>
<td>14,650</td>
<td>13,242</td>
<td>10,958</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>34,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>28,203</td>
<td>21,359</td>
<td>20,630</td>
<td>10,009</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>36,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>24,460</td>
<td>22,387</td>
<td>21,876</td>
<td>9,699</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>36,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>31,551</td>
<td>22,935</td>
<td>22,522</td>
<td>9,046</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>36,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>28,203</td>
<td>27,325</td>
<td>26,860</td>
<td>9,430</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>37,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>19,563</td>
<td>30,430</td>
<td>30,673</td>
<td>11,441</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>36,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>11,564</td>
<td>30,428</td>
<td>30,561</td>
<td>14,075</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>33.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>4,785</td>
<td>30,334</td>
<td>30,561</td>
<td>14,771</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>31.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>28,295</td>
<td>28,691</td>
<td>14,573</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>29.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>4,317</td>
<td>27,712</td>
<td>28,691</td>
<td>15,201</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>27.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>24,152</td>
<td>26,860</td>
<td>14,198</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>24.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>22,280</td>
<td>26,250</td>
<td>14,119</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>24.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>21,374</td>
<td>25,029</td>
<td>12,673</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>26.579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Panel B. Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Job Exit</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Earnings at Age 54</th>
<th>Censored Earnings</th>
<th>Insurance Years at 54</th>
<th>Positive Sick Leave, Ages 50-54</th>
<th>Positive Unemployment, Ages 50-54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>23,972</td>
<td>2,539</td>
<td>0,635</td>
<td>0,049</td>
<td>31,878</td>
<td>35,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>34,261</td>
<td>21,126</td>
<td>19,181</td>
<td>9,461</td>
<td>0,071</td>
<td>33,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>78,012</td>
<td>20,965</td>
<td>19,250</td>
<td>11,192</td>
<td>0,089</td>
<td>36,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>38,125</td>
<td>21,629</td>
<td>19,713</td>
<td>12,517</td>
<td>0,090</td>
<td>34,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>35,847</td>
<td>20,180</td>
<td>17,995</td>
<td>12,178</td>
<td>0,091</td>
<td>32,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>22,675</td>
<td>19,181</td>
<td>16,940</td>
<td>11,959</td>
<td>0,091</td>
<td>29,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>15,490</td>
<td>17,230</td>
<td>14,941</td>
<td>11,513</td>
<td>0,092</td>
<td>27,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>31,735</td>
<td>17,175</td>
<td>14,994</td>
<td>11,085</td>
<td>0,051</td>
<td>27,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>3,915</td>
<td>18,318</td>
<td>16,029</td>
<td>12,854</td>
<td>0,076</td>
<td>24,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>16,973</td>
<td>14,689</td>
<td>12,958</td>
<td>0,083</td>
<td>23,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,393</td>
<td>16,035</td>
<td>13,359</td>
<td>13,554</td>
<td>0,078</td>
<td>22,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>15,570</td>
<td>13,282</td>
<td>12,619</td>
<td>0,072</td>
<td>22,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>16,547</td>
<td>13,542</td>
<td>13,396</td>
<td>0,107</td>
<td>22,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>15,201</td>
<td>13,200</td>
<td>12,715</td>
<td>0,080</td>
<td>22,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>16,525</td>
<td>14,517</td>
<td>12,811</td>
<td>0,071</td>
<td>24,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>11,852</td>
<td>8,504</td>
<td>12,103</td>
<td>0,073</td>
<td>20,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>12,797</td>
<td>9,206</td>
<td>12,074</td>
<td>0,120</td>
<td>20,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>12,870</td>
<td>11,502</td>
<td>10,594</td>
<td>0,100</td>
<td>23,625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: See Table 1 for sample restrictions. Exit ages are computed at an annual frequency. Statistics are means unless otherwise noted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>Outcome = Pension Claim</th>
<th>Outcome = Job Exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Controls</td>
<td>Controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=60</td>
<td>0.0411</td>
<td>0.0420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00126)</td>
<td>(0.00129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=60.25</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00102)</td>
<td>(0.00104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=60.50</td>
<td>0.00919</td>
<td>0.0111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00103)</td>
<td>(0.00106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=60.75</td>
<td>0.00485</td>
<td>0.00711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00102)</td>
<td>(0.00105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=61</td>
<td>0.00354</td>
<td>0.00632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00105)</td>
<td>(0.00108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=61.25</td>
<td>0.00367</td>
<td>0.00668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00113)</td>
<td>(0.00116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=61.50</td>
<td>0.0933</td>
<td>0.0964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00242)</td>
<td>(0.00244)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=61.75</td>
<td>0.00389</td>
<td>0.00842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00133)</td>
<td>(0.00137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t=62</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00376)</td>
<td>(0.00373)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA(t=60, c&lt;1940.75)</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00435)</td>
<td>(0.00432)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA(t=60.25, c=1940.75-1941)</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.00970)</td>
<td>(0.00962)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA(t=60.50, c=1941.25)</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0133)</td>
<td>(0.0132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA(t=60.75, c=1941.50-1941.75)</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0103)</td>
<td>(0.0102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA(t=61, c=1942)</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0141)</td>
<td>(0.0139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA(t=61.25, c=1942.25-1942.50)</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0113)</td>
<td>(0.0112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA(t=61.50, c=1942.75)</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0177)</td>
<td>(0.0176)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA(t=61.75, c=1943-1943.25)</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0124)</td>
<td>(0.0122)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Observations | 618649 | 618649 | 466535 | 466535 |
| Individuals  | 113322 | 113322 | 93135  | 93135  |
| R-squared    | 0.269  | 0.283  | 0.131  | 0.148  |

Notes: All regressions include dummies for birth cohort at a quarterly frequency. Standard errors are clustered at the individual level. The additional control variables included in the "Full Control" specifications are birth cohort dummies (quarterly frequency), dummies for insurance years (for men: <30, 30-35, 35-40, 40-45, ≥45 insurance years; for women: <30, 30-35, 35-40, ≥40 insurance years), dummies for percentiles of average earnings between ages 50 through 54, dummies for firm size at the last job (0-4, 5-9, 10-24, 25-49, 50-99, 100-199, 200-499, 500-999, ≥1000 employees), dummies for total days receiving unemployment insurance through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, 91-180, 181-365, 366-730, ≥731 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, 91-180, 181-365, 366-730, ≥731 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits between age 55 through age 59 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), and dummies for weeks of unemployment insurance eligibility (20, 30, 39, 52 weeks).
## Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome = Pension Claim</th>
<th>Outcome = Job Exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Controls</td>
<td>Full Controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Controls</td>
<td>No Controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Controls</td>
<td>Full Controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| t=55        | 0.0153 (0.000470) | 0.0159 (0.000476) | 0.0201 (0.000751) | 0.0212 (0.000751) |
| t=55.25     | 0.00395 (0.000337) | 0.00478 (0.000339) | 0.00837 (0.000680) | 0.0102 (0.000678) |
| t=55.50     | 0.00440 (0.000355) | 0.00513 (0.000357) | 0.0116 (0.000736) | 0.0140 (0.000734) |
| t=55.75     | 0.00419 (0.000368) | 0.00493 (0.000372) | 0.00849 (0.000716) | 0.0114 (0.000715) |
| t=56        | 0.00422 (0.000382) | 0.00482 (0.000386) | 0.00974 (0.000746) | 0.0129 (0.000745) |
| t=56.25     | 0.00395 (0.000396) | 0.00447 (0.000400) | 0.01070 (0.000780) | 0.0141 (0.000780) |
| t=56.50     | 0.0276 (0.00764)   | 0.0281 (0.00772)   | 0.0419 (0.0113)   | 0.0463 (0.0113)   |
| t=56.75     | 0.0675 (0.000499)  | 0.0745 (0.000506)  | 0.154 (0.00920)   | 0.210 (0.00921)   |
| t=57        | 0.0276 (0.000881)  | 0.0281 (0.000876)  | 0.0480 (0.00136)  | 0.0543 (0.00136)  |
| t=57.25     | 0.0182 (0.000866)  | 0.0190 (0.000868)  | 0.0586 (0.00168)  | 0.0660 (0.00168)  |
| t=57.50     | 0.0254 (0.00121)   | 0.0262 (0.00121)   | 0.0811 (0.00233)  | 0.0894 (0.00232)  |
| t=57.75     | 0.0750 (0.00228)   | 0.0759 (0.00227)   | 0.139 (0.00339)   | 0.148 (0.00338)   |
| ERA(t=55, c<1945.75) | 0.254 (0.00322)   | 0.252 (0.00319)   | 0.141 (0.00336)   | 0.141 (0.00334)   |
| ERA(t=55.25, c=1945.75-1945.75) | 0.217 (0.00665) | 0.214 (0.00659) | 0.0770 (0.00604) | 0.0768 (0.00602) |
| ERA(t=55.50, c=1946.25) | 0.194 (0.00828)   | 0.194 (0.00821)   | 0.0795 (0.00779)  | 0.0795 (0.00776)  |
| ERA(t=55.75, c=1946.50-1946.75) | 0.197 (0.00547) | 0.195 (0.00541) | 0.0985 (0.00531) | 0.0980 (0.00529) |
| ERA(t=56, c=1947) | 0.230 (0.00752)   | 0.226 (0.00744)   | 0.121 (0.00736)   | 0.120 (0.00734)   |
| ERA(t=56.25, c=1947.25-1947.50) | 0.201 (0.00557) | 0.200 (0.00551) | 0.121 (0.00572) | 0.121 (0.00570) |
| ERA(t=56.50, c=1947.75) | 0.134 (0.00749)   | 0.131 (0.00745)   | 0.101 (0.00858)   | 0.0990 (0.00855)  |
| ERA(t=56.75, c=1948-1948.25) | 0.123 (0.00472) | 0.121 (0.00469) | 0.0782 (0.00507) | 0.0768 (0.00505) |
| ERA(t=57, c=1948.50-1948.75) | 0.114 (0.00517) | 0.113 (0.00514) | 0.0593 (0.00555) | 0.0570 (0.00553) |
| ERA(t=57.25, c=1949-1949.50) | 0.106 (0.00499) | 0.105 (0.00496) | 0.0474 (0.00562) | 0.0448 (0.00559) |
| ERA(t=57.50, c>1949.75) | 0.102 (0.00509) | 0.102 (0.00505) | 0.0257 (0.00579) | 0.0253 (0.00574) |

| Observations | 1301871 | 1301871 | 1156898 | 1156898 |
| Individuals  | 155371  | 155371  | 148151  | 148151  |
| R-squared    | 0.108   | 0.125   | 0.033   | 0.047   |

Notes: All regressions include dummies for birth cohort at a quarterly frequency. Standard errors are clustered at the individual level. The additional control variables included in the "Full Control" specifications are birth cohort dummies (quarterly frequency), dummies for insurance years (for men: <30, 30-35, 35-40, 40-45, ≥45 insurance years; for women: <30, 30-35, 35-40, ≥40 insurance years), dummies for percentiles of average earnings between ages 50 through 54, dummies for firm size at the last job (0-4, 5-9, 10-24, 25-49, 50-99, 100-199, 200-499, 500-999, ≥1000 employees), dummies for total days receiving unemployment insurance through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, 91-180, 181-365, 366-730, ≥731 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits through age 54 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), dummies for total days receiving sick leave benefits between age 55 through age 59 (0, 1-30, 31-90, ≥91 days), and dummies for weeks of unemployment insurance eligibility (20, 30, 39, 52 weeks).
### Table 5

**Participation Elasticities by Gender and Early Retirement Age, Full Sample**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>dln(p) no controls</th>
<th>dln(p) controls</th>
<th>e no controls</th>
<th>dln(1-t) no controls</th>
<th>dln(1-t) controls</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>dln(p) no controls</th>
<th>dln(p) controls</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>dln(1-t) no controls</th>
<th>dln(1-t) controls</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.0000</td>
<td>0.6007</td>
<td>-1.4997</td>
<td>0.4005</td>
<td>0.6035</td>
<td>-1.4936</td>
<td>0.4041</td>
<td>55.0000</td>
<td>0.1524</td>
<td>-0.9856</td>
<td>0.1546</td>
<td>0.1547</td>
<td>-0.9816</td>
<td>0.1576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0087)</td>
<td>(0.0056)</td>
<td>(0.0087)</td>
<td>(0.0048)</td>
<td>(0.0056)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0035)</td>
<td>(0.0036)</td>
<td>(0.0034)</td>
<td>(0.0035)</td>
<td>(0.0036)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.2500</td>
<td>0.3393</td>
<td>-1.4018</td>
<td>0.2421</td>
<td>0.3442</td>
<td>-1.3946</td>
<td>0.2468</td>
<td>55.2500</td>
<td>0.0756</td>
<td>-0.8970</td>
<td>0.0842</td>
<td>0.0778</td>
<td>-0.8960</td>
<td>0.0868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0145)</td>
<td>(0.0110)</td>
<td>(0.0145)</td>
<td>(0.0106)</td>
<td>(0.0101)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0054)</td>
<td>(0.0070)</td>
<td>(0.0059)</td>
<td>(0.0054)</td>
<td>(0.0069)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.5000</td>
<td>0.4297</td>
<td>-1.3823</td>
<td>0.3108</td>
<td>0.4321</td>
<td>-1.3756</td>
<td>0.3141</td>
<td>55.5000</td>
<td>0.0857</td>
<td>-0.8783</td>
<td>0.0975</td>
<td>0.0868</td>
<td>-0.8758</td>
<td>0.1012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0223)</td>
<td>(0.0132)</td>
<td>(0.0156)</td>
<td>(0.0222)</td>
<td>(0.0128)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0072)</td>
<td>(0.0101)</td>
<td>(0.0080)</td>
<td>(0.0072)</td>
<td>(0.0100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.7500</td>
<td>0.4830</td>
<td>-1.3509</td>
<td>0.3575</td>
<td>0.4853</td>
<td>-1.3473</td>
<td>0.3602</td>
<td>55.7500</td>
<td>0.1019</td>
<td>-0.8654</td>
<td>0.1178</td>
<td>0.1043</td>
<td>-0.8608</td>
<td>0.1212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0182)</td>
<td>(0.0125)</td>
<td>(0.0127)</td>
<td>(0.0182)</td>
<td>(0.0122)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0048)</td>
<td>(0.0058)</td>
<td>(0.0055)</td>
<td>(0.0048)</td>
<td>(0.0057)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.0000</td>
<td>0.5295</td>
<td>-1.3934</td>
<td>0.3800</td>
<td>0.5299</td>
<td>-1.3843</td>
<td>0.3828</td>
<td>56.0000</td>
<td>0.1265</td>
<td>-0.9382</td>
<td>0.1348</td>
<td>0.1293</td>
<td>-0.9327</td>
<td>0.1386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0253)</td>
<td>(0.0141)</td>
<td>(0.0177)</td>
<td>(0.0253)</td>
<td>(0.0137)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0068)</td>
<td>(0.0078)</td>
<td>(0.0071)</td>
<td>(0.0068)</td>
<td>(0.0076)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.2500</td>
<td>0.5880</td>
<td>-1.3403</td>
<td>0.4387</td>
<td>0.5883</td>
<td>-1.3371</td>
<td>0.4400</td>
<td>56.2500</td>
<td>0.1329</td>
<td>-0.8883</td>
<td>0.1496</td>
<td>0.1371</td>
<td>-0.8847</td>
<td>0.1550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0220)</td>
<td>(0.0127)</td>
<td>(0.0157)</td>
<td>(0.0219)</td>
<td>(0.0123)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0055)</td>
<td>(0.0059)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td>(0.0055)</td>
<td>(0.0058)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.5000</td>
<td>0.5719</td>
<td>-1.1580</td>
<td>0.4939</td>
<td>0.5753</td>
<td>-1.1551</td>
<td>0.4981</td>
<td>56.5000</td>
<td>0.1081</td>
<td>-0.8312</td>
<td>0.1300</td>
<td>0.1111</td>
<td>-0.8271</td>
<td>0.1343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0409)</td>
<td>(0.0196)</td>
<td>(0.0344)</td>
<td>(0.0409)</td>
<td>(0.0347)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0080)</td>
<td>(0.0085)</td>
<td>(0.0093)</td>
<td>(0.0080)</td>
<td>(0.0084)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.7500</td>
<td>0.3443</td>
<td>-1.1725</td>
<td>0.2937</td>
<td>0.3471</td>
<td>-1.1655</td>
<td>0.2978</td>
<td>56.7500</td>
<td>0.0836</td>
<td>-0.8364</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.0837</td>
<td>-0.8339</td>
<td>0.1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0179)</td>
<td>(0.0128)</td>
<td>(0.0145)</td>
<td>(0.0178)</td>
<td>(0.0125)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0044)</td>
<td>(0.0059)</td>
<td>(0.0051)</td>
<td>(0.0044)</td>
<td>(0.0058)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** N refers to the number of individuals used in the regressions to estimate changes in the probabilities of work (retirement) and changes in the net-of-tax rates. Bootstrapped standard errors based on 1000 replications are shown in parentheses.
Table 6
Participation Elasticities by Gender and Early Retirement Age, Individuals with Uncensored Earnings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>dln(p)</th>
<th>dln(1-t)</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>dln(p)</th>
<th>dln(1-t)</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>dln(p)</th>
<th>dln(1-t)</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>dln(p)</th>
<th>dln(1-t)</th>
<th>e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.0000</td>
<td>0.7520</td>
<td>-1.5600</td>
<td>0.4820</td>
<td>0.7533</td>
<td>-1.5503</td>
<td>0.4859</td>
<td>55.0000</td>
<td>0.1465</td>
<td>-0.9803</td>
<td>0.1495</td>
<td>0.1491</td>
<td>-0.9772</td>
<td>0.1526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0130)</td>
<td>(0.0080)</td>
<td>(0.0080)</td>
<td>(0.0129)</td>
<td>(0.0079)</td>
<td>(0.0080)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0034)</td>
<td>(0.0037)</td>
<td>(0.0034)</td>
<td>(0.0035)</td>
<td>(0.0037)</td>
<td>(0.0034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.2500</td>
<td>0.4026</td>
<td>-1.3340</td>
<td>0.3018</td>
<td>0.4092</td>
<td>-1.3312</td>
<td>0.3074</td>
<td>55.2500</td>
<td>0.0719</td>
<td>-0.8903</td>
<td>0.0808</td>
<td>0.0744</td>
<td>-0.8894</td>
<td>0.0836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0223)</td>
<td>(0.0183)</td>
<td>(0.0158)</td>
<td>(0.0221)</td>
<td>(0.0177)</td>
<td>(0.0158)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0054)</td>
<td>(0.0073)</td>
<td>(0.0059)</td>
<td>(0.0054)</td>
<td>(0.0071)</td>
<td>(0.0059)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.5000</td>
<td>0.5140</td>
<td>-1.3647</td>
<td>0.3766</td>
<td>0.5161</td>
<td>-1.3613</td>
<td>0.3791</td>
<td>55.5000</td>
<td>0.0822</td>
<td>-0.8711</td>
<td>0.0944</td>
<td>0.0857</td>
<td>-0.8692</td>
<td>0.0985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0337)</td>
<td>(0.0250)</td>
<td>(0.0233)</td>
<td>(0.0333)</td>
<td>(0.0243)</td>
<td>(0.0232)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0072)</td>
<td>(0.0103)</td>
<td>(0.0080)</td>
<td>(0.0072)</td>
<td>(0.0102)</td>
<td>(0.0081)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.7500</td>
<td>0.4981</td>
<td>-1.3463</td>
<td>0.3700</td>
<td>0.5039</td>
<td>-1.3422</td>
<td>0.3754</td>
<td>55.7500</td>
<td>0.0983</td>
<td>-0.8595</td>
<td>0.1144</td>
<td>0.1013</td>
<td>-0.8551</td>
<td>0.1184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0236)</td>
<td>(0.0232)</td>
<td>(0.0162)</td>
<td>(0.0234)</td>
<td>(0.0227)</td>
<td>(0.0161)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0049)</td>
<td>(0.0061)</td>
<td>(0.0056)</td>
<td>(0.0049)</td>
<td>(0.0060)</td>
<td>(0.0057)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.0000</td>
<td>0.5311</td>
<td>-1.3667</td>
<td>0.3886</td>
<td>0.5318</td>
<td>-1.3647</td>
<td>0.3897</td>
<td>56.0000</td>
<td>0.1185</td>
<td>-0.9286</td>
<td>0.1276</td>
<td>0.1219</td>
<td>-0.9247</td>
<td>0.1318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0369)</td>
<td>(0.0277)</td>
<td>(0.0252)</td>
<td>(0.0363)</td>
<td>(0.0265)</td>
<td>(0.0250)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0071)</td>
<td>(0.0082)</td>
<td>(0.0074)</td>
<td>(0.0071)</td>
<td>(0.0080)</td>
<td>(0.0074)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.2500</td>
<td>0.6066</td>
<td>-1.3308</td>
<td>0.4559</td>
<td>0.6109</td>
<td>-1.3264</td>
<td>0.4605</td>
<td>56.2500</td>
<td>0.1276</td>
<td>-0.8845</td>
<td>0.1443</td>
<td>0.1328</td>
<td>-0.8811</td>
<td>0.1507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0289)</td>
<td>(0.0225)</td>
<td>(0.0208)</td>
<td>(0.0286)</td>
<td>(0.0219)</td>
<td>(0.0207)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0056)</td>
<td>(0.0062)</td>
<td>(0.0062)</td>
<td>(0.0056)</td>
<td>(0.0061)</td>
<td>(0.0062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.5000</td>
<td>0.5853</td>
<td>-1.1329</td>
<td>0.5166</td>
<td>0.5939</td>
<td>-1.1323</td>
<td>0.5245</td>
<td>56.5000</td>
<td>0.0966</td>
<td>-0.8248</td>
<td>0.1171</td>
<td>0.1007</td>
<td>-0.8212</td>
<td>0.1226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0524)</td>
<td>(0.0330)</td>
<td>(0.0445)</td>
<td>(0.0522)</td>
<td>(0.0316)</td>
<td>(0.0448)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0076)</td>
<td>(0.0090)</td>
<td>(0.0091)</td>
<td>(0.0076)</td>
<td>(0.0088)</td>
<td>(0.0091)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.7500</td>
<td>0.3990</td>
<td>-1.1952</td>
<td>0.3338</td>
<td>0.3999</td>
<td>-1.1879</td>
<td>0.3366</td>
<td>56.7500</td>
<td>0.0815</td>
<td>-0.8331</td>
<td>0.0978</td>
<td>0.0811</td>
<td>-0.8314</td>
<td>0.0976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0271)</td>
<td>(0.0234)</td>
<td>(0.0217)</td>
<td>(0.0267)</td>
<td>(0.0226)</td>
<td>(0.0216)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0048)</td>
<td>(0.0063)</td>
<td>(0.0056)</td>
<td>(0.0047)</td>
<td>(0.0062)</td>
<td>(0.0056)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: N refers to the number of individuals used in the regressions to estimate changes in the probabilities of work (retirement) and changes in the net-of-tax rates. Bootstrapped standard errors based on 1000 replications are shown in parentheses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>dln(p) No Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) No Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) Controls</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>dln(p) No Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) No Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) No Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.0000</td>
<td>0.6206</td>
<td>0.6198</td>
<td>0.8993</td>
<td>0.9004</td>
<td>55.0000</td>
<td>0.6524</td>
<td>0.6522</td>
<td>0.7014</td>
<td>0.7036</td>
<td>61.0000</td>
<td>0.6144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0157)</td>
<td>(0.0157)</td>
<td>(0.0247)</td>
<td>(0.0247)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0134)</td>
<td>(0.0134)</td>
<td>(0.0148)</td>
<td>(0.0148)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.2500</td>
<td>0.6053</td>
<td>0.6044</td>
<td>0.9205</td>
<td>0.9182</td>
<td>55.2500</td>
<td>0.6056</td>
<td>0.6051</td>
<td>0.6271</td>
<td>0.6285</td>
<td>61.2500</td>
<td>0.6148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0342)</td>
<td>(0.0343)</td>
<td>(0.0562)</td>
<td>(0.0556)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0288)</td>
<td>(0.0288)</td>
<td>(0.0310)</td>
<td>(0.0311)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.5000</td>
<td>0.6825</td>
<td>0.6826</td>
<td>0.9709</td>
<td>0.9629</td>
<td>55.5000</td>
<td>0.5835</td>
<td>0.5834</td>
<td>0.6187</td>
<td>0.6210</td>
<td>61.5000</td>
<td>0.6146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0431)</td>
<td>(0.0434)</td>
<td>(0.0715)</td>
<td>(0.0701)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0316)</td>
<td>(0.0316)</td>
<td>(0.0341)</td>
<td>(0.0342)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.7500</td>
<td>0.5183</td>
<td>0.5212</td>
<td>0.8701</td>
<td>0.8605</td>
<td>55.7500</td>
<td>0.5851</td>
<td>0.5852</td>
<td>0.6110</td>
<td>0.6131</td>
<td>61.7500</td>
<td>0.6144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0325)</td>
<td>(0.0328)</td>
<td>(0.0582)</td>
<td>(0.0568)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0219)</td>
<td>(0.0219)</td>
<td>(0.0238)</td>
<td>(0.0239)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.0000</td>
<td>0.6772</td>
<td>0.6776</td>
<td>1.0685</td>
<td>1.0557</td>
<td>56.0000</td>
<td>0.7563</td>
<td>0.7563</td>
<td>0.7916</td>
<td>0.7953</td>
<td>61.0000</td>
<td>0.6144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0648)</td>
<td>(0.0647)</td>
<td>(0.1168)</td>
<td>(0.1144)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0415)</td>
<td>(0.0416)</td>
<td>(0.0443)</td>
<td>(0.0445)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.2500</td>
<td>0.6144</td>
<td>0.6168</td>
<td>1.0105</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
<td>56.2500</td>
<td>0.7120</td>
<td>0.7123</td>
<td>0.7595</td>
<td>0.7629</td>
<td>61.2500</td>
<td>0.6144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0415)</td>
<td>(0.0420)</td>
<td>(0.0756)</td>
<td>(0.0729)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0261)</td>
<td>(0.0261)</td>
<td>(0.0283)</td>
<td>(0.0285)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0285)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Participation Changes by Gender and Early Retirement Age, Individuals with Long Insurance Years

- **Men (N=41351)**
- **Women (N=32400)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>dln(p) All Individuals No Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) All Individuals Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) Individuals with Uncensored Earnings No Controls</th>
<th>dln(p) Individuals with Uncensored Earnings Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.0000</td>
<td>0.6206</td>
<td>0.6198</td>
<td>0.8993</td>
<td>0.9004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0157)</td>
<td>(0.0157)</td>
<td>(0.0247)</td>
<td>(0.0247)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.2500</td>
<td>0.6053</td>
<td>0.6044</td>
<td>0.9205</td>
<td>0.9182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0342)</td>
<td>(0.0343)</td>
<td>(0.0562)</td>
<td>(0.0556)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.5000</td>
<td>0.6825</td>
<td>0.6826</td>
<td>0.9709</td>
<td>0.9629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0431)</td>
<td>(0.0434)</td>
<td>(0.0715)</td>
<td>(0.0701)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.7500</td>
<td>0.5183</td>
<td>0.5212</td>
<td>0.8701</td>
<td>0.8605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0325)</td>
<td>(0.0328)</td>
<td>(0.0582)</td>
<td>(0.0568)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.0000</td>
<td>0.6772</td>
<td>0.6776</td>
<td>1.0685</td>
<td>1.0557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0648)</td>
<td>(0.0647)</td>
<td>(0.1168)</td>
<td>(0.1144)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.2500</td>
<td>0.6144</td>
<td>0.6168</td>
<td>1.0105</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0415)</td>
<td>(0.0420)</td>
<td>(0.0756)</td>
<td>(0.0729)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: N refers to the number of individuals used in the regressions to estimate changes in the probabilities of work (retirement) and changes in the net-of-tax rates. Bootstrapped standard errors based on 1000 replications are shown in parentheses.