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Abstract
Teenage pregnancy in the Dominican Republic represents a persistent development challenge. This paper uses data from a randomized impact evaluation of a youth training program, the Youth and Employment (Juventud y Empleo) program, which includes soft skills training, to examine its impact on teenage pregnancy. We find that the program reduces the probability of teenage pregnancy by 6 percentage points (about 48 percent), with a stronger effect on young and single women and those who are already mothers. The channels through which the program seems to affect teenage pregnancy are improvements in non-cognitive skills and expectations and not an incapacitation effect.
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1. Introduction

Latin American and Caribbean countries have a persistent problem of high teenage pregnancy rates: 71 births per 1,000 women between 15 and 19 years of age in 2011. That places Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) as the second region with the highest rate, being surpassed only by Sub-Saharan Africa (with 110 births). This problem is especially worrying in the Dominican Republic, which shows one of the highest teenage pregnancy rates in LAC. In 2011, the teenage pregnancy rate was 104 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19 (see Annex I, Figure 1), far above the average rate for the region, which is 71 births per 1,000 women ages 15-19. In addition, the high pregnancy rate in the Dominican Republic is persistent over time, decreasing marginally from 109 births per 1,000 women in 2001 to 104 births per 1,000 women after one decade (see Annex I, Figure 2).

Teenage pregnancy (and motherhood) has an impact on the labor market outcomes of young girls, who usually stop studying or accumulating work experience in order to take care of their babies at a very young age. Studies in the US find that adolescent fertility substantially reduces years of formal education and work experience (Klepinger et al. 1995, 1999). Carrasco (2012) uses data from the 2010 Social Protection Evaluation Survey for the Dominican Republic and finds that teenage pregnancy rates are higher for those living in poverty conditions compared to those living above the poverty line. The author argues that young girls, who are usually low-educated and lack the skills necessary to enter the labor market, also face another restriction, which is to have to take care of a child. For these girls, day care centers are not only scarce and geographically far away, but also unaffordable.

Evidence for developed countries, especially the US, confirms the negative effects of teenage pregnancy on various socio-economic variables such as education, labor market outcomes, and the girl’s prospects on the marriage market. In the case of Latin American and Caribbean countries, recent evidence shows the impact of teenage pregnancy on socio-economic outcomes. For example, in the case of Mexico, in the short run, teenage pregnancy seems to reduce years of schooling, school attendance, and hours of work, while it increases marriage rates. In the long run, this results in a loss in years of education and in lower per capita household income. It also contributes to a higher probability of being married and divorced (Arceo-Gomez and Campos-Vazquez, 2011). In
Chile, Berthelon and Kruger (2011) find that teenage motherhood significantly reduces the probability of high school completion.

A recent regional study for LAC about teenage pregnancy reveals that it seems like the main cause of this phenomenon is not lack of information about planning methods. A big percentage of the girls that were interviewed in this regional study reveal that they know how to prevent a pregnancy, but they do not use these methods. In the Dominican case, according to Carrasco (2012), the new paradigm of the problem of teenage pregnancy goes along that same line of “lack of life projects” among the adolescent population. To illustrate that, according to data from ENDESA from 2002-2007, 52.6 % of adolescent pregnancy classify their pregnancies as "wanted pregnancies". The decision to have a child at such an early age could be associated to lack of incentives to go to school, or a perceived lack of opportunities, especially among the poorest.

In this sense, one path toward preventing a young girl from taking early decisions about having a child is to change her life expectations, self-esteem and perceptions of what she can do with her future. Empirical studies from the US and the UK show that teenagers’ attitudes and expectations about their future affect the probability of pregnancy (Plotnick, 1992, 1993, 2007). In that sense, programs that support the development of soft skills and increase expectations about the future are expected to have a positive effect on preventing teenage pregnancy. Life-skills training programs are one example of interventions that generate changes in expectations and socio-emotional skills such as self-esteem, responsibility and perseverance. The Youth and Employment Program (JE, because of its name in Spanish) in the Dominican Republic, which is analyzed in this paper, is one of these programs. An earlier evaluation of the JE program shows that the training impacts on the development of both soft skills and expectations (Ibarraran et al, 2012).

Young people in Latin America and the Caribbean are facing disproportionate difficulties in the labor market. As many as 73 million young people aged 15 to 24 are unemployed and many of those employed have jobs of poor quality. Poor quality of employment among youth is an acute problem in the developing world, where an increasing number of young people are turning to part-time,  
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3 While cognitive skills are related to the ability to learn and are related to the intellectual coefficient, socio-emotional or non-cognitive skills (also known as personality traits or life-skills) are related to behaviors and attitudes, and are also referred as to “soft-skills”.
temporary and informal forms of employment (ILO, 2010). To address this, some LAC countries have implemented, since the early 1990s, a wide range of policies, the most common being the implementation of job training programs specially tailored for youth. These programs regularly target vulnerable youth and include training in soft and technical skills plus an apprenticeships or internships in a private sector firm. There is also agreement in the academic literature about the positive relation of possessing these skills and having higher probabilities of finding a good job and maintaining it for a longer time (Heckman et al. 2006; Urzua, 2009; Fazio, 2011), and therefore of utter importance to provide them in an effective way. Focusing on socio-emotional skills has become increasingly important for these programs (Ibarraran and Rosas, 2009; Gonzalez-Velosa et al., 2012). In this sense, different training programs in the region have improved the provision of these services and expanded the number of training hours dedicated to them.

One of these youth-training programs is the Dominican Republic’s Juventud y Empleo program. JE was the first program of the Region to have an experimental evaluation design from its inception. While previous evaluations of these programs have focused almost exclusively on the labor market impacts (employment rate, labor earnings and quality of employment), Ibarraran et al. (2012) also report on the mechanisms by which training is supposed to improve participants’ labor market performance, specifically, by increasing the skills with which they join the labor force, particularly non-cognitive and socio-emotional skills. They also preliminary examine other important outcomes that can be attributed to training, such as changes in teenage pregnancy rate and consumption of alcohol, cigarettes, drugs and lottery.

This paper studies the effects of JE on teenage pregnancy. It builds on the analysis of Ibarraran et al. (2012) to study more in detail heterogeneities on how the treatment affects teenage pregnancy (by civil status, soft skills, number of children, expectations and the locking-in effect). In particular, we investigate how family factors such as civil status and number of children mediate in this effect. Most importantly, in this paper we devote particular attention to the channels through which the JE
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4 See Gonzalez-Velosa et al. (2012) for an in-depth analysis of six job training programmes for youth in Latin America and the Caribbean, using as inputs the results from impact evaluations, a qualitative fieldwork and a statistical analysis of surveys to firms and programme beneficiaries. Other important references are Heckman, Lalonde, and Smith (1999) for a general overview of training programs, and Betcherman, Olivas, and Dar (2004) for a recent summary that includes some evaluations of developing country training programs.
affects teenage pregnancy. In this sense, we explore changes in time-use, expectations and non-cognitive skills.

The vast majority of the previous studies are based on associations between pregnancy and other variables. These papers find difficulties to solve the potential endogeneity problem of unobserved factors affecting both pregnancy and other variables, such as participation in a training program. We use the randomized experiment data of the JE program, which allow us to identify the causal effect of the program on pregnancy. This paper contributes to a better understanding of how a training program that includes training in socio-emotional skills could impact teenage pregnancy. At the same time, it enriches the discussion about the topic and increases the existing knowledge about which elements are important in the agenda of reducing teenage pregnancy in developing countries.

Our analysis is based on a sample of applicants for the cohort of trainees that participated in the 2008 version of the JE program that was modified as a result of the first impact evaluation. We show that the program reduces the probability of pregnancy for all women, but is particularly stronger within teenagers (reduction of about 6 percentage points or women in the treatment group are 48 percent less likely to be pregnant than those in the control group) and this effect is stronger for single women and those who are already mothers. The channels through which the program seems to reduce pregnancy are improvements in non-cognitive skills and expectations and no through an incapacitation effect (i.e. changing the time that girls allocate to risky activities). Moreover, the JE have larger effects on teenagers whose initial self-esteem was higher.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the JE program, its previous evaluations, and the most important design features of this evaluation and the data collected. Section 3 presents the empirical strategy, Section 4 presents the results, and, Section 5 concludes.
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5 The first evaluation corresponds to Card et al. (2011).
2. The Youth and Employment Program (Juventud y Empleo)

The Juventud y Empleo (JE) program is a Dominican youth training program that aims to increase the probability of getting a good-quality job of youth between 16 and 29 years of age who did not complete high school. It started in 2001 and it was the first job training program in Latin America to have a randomized impact evaluation design.

The program offers 75 hours of life skills training plus 150 hours of technical or vocational training in a wide variety of courses (e.g. administrative assistant, baker, hair stylist, clerk, auto mechanic, bartender). Basic skills training includes the strengthening of socio-emotional and basic cognitive skills, while vocational training addresses technical training tailored to the needs of employers. More specifically, JE provides training in the following basic and socio-emotional skills: (i) planning skills: development of their personal life project; (ii) basic cognitive skills: management of basic math and communication skills; (iii) social skills: improvement of management of social risk situations as well as prevention and conflict negotiation skills; (iv) skills for productive work: promotion of decision-making in the workplace skills, team collaboration and the ability to work with efficiency and quality; and (v) sensitivity to gender equality and respect of the environment (Portorreal, 2010). 

The two skills training components of the JE are provided by private training institutions (Centros Operadores del Sistema, COS) that are registered and approved by the national training institution (Instituto Nacional de Formación Técnico Profesional, INFOTEP). Training at the COS is followed by a three-month internship in a private sector firm. This internship is identified by the COS and the objective is to provide training programs tailored to the firm’s labor demand. Each COS makes an open call for applicants, and then young people are identified by the COS according to their preferred career and the availability of the desired course. Once they reach 35 potential participants, the COS sends the names and identification numbers to the program coordinating unit (PCU), which randomly selects those who are offered the training course.

Previous evaluations of the program include the analysis of two samples. The first impact evaluation of this program (Card et al., 2011) was based on a sample of applicants who applied to receive training in early 2004 and followed up on mid-2005, some 10 to 14 months after most trainees had
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6 Trainings did not explicitly include sex education in their curriculum.
finished their initial coursework. This evaluation showed little impact on employment, although there is some evidence of a modest (10 percent) impact on hourly wages and earnings, and also modest impacts on formality for men measured by the probability of holding of a job that offers health insurance. The second impact evaluation of the program (Ibarraran et al., 2012) used data from 2008-2010 and finds that, in terms of labor market outcomes, the program has a positive impact on job formality for men of about 17 percent and there is also a 7 percent increase in monthly earnings among those employed. However, there are no overall impacts on employment rates. Regarding non-labor market outcomes, the program reduces teenage pregnancy by 6 percentage points in the treatment group (about 48 percent), which is consistent with an overall increase in youth expectations about the future, and in non-cognitive skills as measured by three different scales: Social and Personal Competencies (CPS), Rosenberg and Grit scales.

This paper and Ibarraran et al (2012) focus on a modified version of the program and its evaluation design. While the JE maintains its two-stages training followed by an internship and the evaluation is still based on random assignment, some important changes were introduced: (i) COS are supposed to work closer to the firms that provide the internship in order to develop tailored courses to train people for real vacancies; (ii) the life-skills section of the training was revamped as firms argued that what they valued most from training were the general job-readiness/life skills rather than the technical training; (iii) random assignment was done on a larger sample for each course (20 treatments, 15 controls); and (iv) follow-up was improved in terms of sample size, survey instruments, and quality controls of the field work.

Random assignment was done on a group of eligible participants, identified by the COS, who met the following criteria: i) being 16 to 29 years of age; ii) living in poor neighborhoods; iii) not currently attending school; iv) with incomplete high school education or less; v) currently
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7 Unfortunately, however, the randomized design of the JE evaluation was potentially compromised by the failure to include in the follow-up survey people who were originally assigned to receive training but failed to show up, or those who attended only briefly.

8 Martinez (2013), based on a complementary evaluation that analyzes another cohort of trainees separates the impact of providing only life skills versus traditional training, and their preliminary findings suggest that there is no valued added from the technical training. A qualitative analysis by Fazio (2011) presents additional evidence that firms value the life skills component more than the technical training.
unemployed, under-employed or occupationally inactive; and vi) hold a Dominican identity card.\textsuperscript{9} The program received the information from the COS and verified that none of the applicants had been registered before. For each course, the COS submitted data on 35 eligible and interested young and received from the CPU the list of people randomly assigned to one of the two groups. The first one is formed by 20 young people who were offered the program. The second group of the remaining 15 young people was assigned to the control group. If young people offered the program did not respond or drop out before the tenth day of ongoing classes, the COS could replace up to five slots with young people from the control group. The replacements were thought to be randomly selected by the PCU, who were supposed to provide the names directly to the COS. However, in practice, the COS experienced some degree of discretion in selecting the replacements, which is unknown to us and might have led to selection bias. Given this, we focused on the original random assignment to estimate the Intention-to-Treat effect, where there are no concerns about potential selection bias.

3. Empirical Strategy

After the random assignment into the treatment or control groups, some people decided not to attend the courses or dropped out during the first week of classes, while some of those assigned to the control group ended up receiving the treatment. According to administrative and follow-up data, Ibarraran et al. (2012) report that there was imperfect compliance: 22 percent of those originally assigned to the control group ($Z_i = 0$) ended up being contacted by the COS and accepted the course, while 17 percent of those originally assigned to the treatment group ($Z_i = 1$) were not contacted or did not start the course.

Given that the mechanism through which the replacements and other causes of imperfect compliances are unknown, our estimates only consider what happened at the random assignment, and therefore correspond to the Intention-to-Treat (ITT) effects of offering the JE program. The ITT estimates yield the causal effect of $Z_i$ (Duflo et al., 2006), and its estimation includes the group of young people that participated in the random assignment, including the no compliers. It is

\textsuperscript{9} Membership in poor households was related to the location of young people across the country and a normative priority established by the national government. In a targeting report, it was revealed that 72 percent of the postulants met the location criteria but only 40 percent were poor (Morillo, 2010).
expected that the effect of offering JE becomes smaller to the extent that the proportion of those no-compliers increases. The ITTs are estimated by Ordinary Least Squares (OLS).

Data came from two sources: (i) baseline data were collected at the registration at the COS; and (ii) in contrast, the follow-up data corresponds to a sample of 5000 individuals (out of the 10,309 registered), surveyed 18-24 months after the completion of the courses, between November 2010 and February 2011. The attrition rate between waves was about 20 percent and is statistically similar in the two treatment groups (80.4 percent of those in the control group and 80.8 percent of those in the treatment group were interviewed in the two waves). The final sample consists of 2338 women (75 percent of all women in the sample) with complete information in all the variables used in the analysis.

\[
pregnant_{ic} = \alpha + \beta Z_i + \gamma t_i + \delta_c + \varepsilon_{ic} \tag{1}
\]

The dependent variable in equation (1) corresponds to a dummy variable for whether a woman is pregnant; \( Z_i \) corresponds to the random assignment to the control or treatment group; \( t \) is a dummy variable that takes the value of 0 when the follow-up information was collected in the last two months of 2010 and the value of 1 when it was collected in the first two months of 2011; \( \delta_c \) is a course fixed effect; and, \( \varepsilon_{ic} \) is an individual error term.

Even though the assignment into treatment was random, we include individual characteristics (whether the woman was married/cohabiting; and number of children), which might be correlated with the pregnancy outcome. This potentially helps gaining precision in the point estimates of JE. These variables, included in \( X_i \), are separately included in the estimation of equation (2).

\[
pregnant_{ic} = \alpha + \beta Z_i + \rho X_i + \gamma t_i + \delta_c + \varepsilon_{ic} \tag{2}
\]

In addition, to explore the channels through which the JE affects teenage pregnancy, the analysis uses a set of rich information contained in the follow-up survey. In particular, we use information of the following modules: time-use (regarding the last working day); expectations; and non-cognitive
skills (CPS, Rosenberg and Grit scales). These \( j \) variables are the dependent variables estimated separately using equation (3).

\[
y_{ic} = \alpha + \beta Z_i + \gamma t_i + \delta_c + \varepsilon_{ic} \tag{3}
\]

From the time-use data module, we compute the time devoted to leisure as the difference of total daily time and the time devoted to different activities (work, study, and home production). From the expectations module, we compute an index (using principal component analysis) combining the responses to a set of questions regarding expectations of personal life (finishing a educational level higher than the current one; living in a better neighborhood and having the desired house or car; having his/her own business; having the desired job position; having his/her professional aspirations realized; and, having his/her personal aspirations realized).

Regarding the measures of non-cognitive skills, we use the CPS, Rosenberg and Grit scales, which were standardized within the samples of men and women in the follow-up survey and transformed so higher values indicate higher levels of the dimension measured. Brea (2011) presents a detailed discussion of the construction, reliability and interpretation of the three scales for the sample of JE. The CPS scale measures different dimensions of social and personal competencies, related to attitudes and values, including: leadership; communication and social acceptance; ability to establish social relationships; empathy and communication; self-esteem; order; organization; and conflict resolution skills. The Rosenberg scale intends to measure self-esteem through a scale that includes items answered on a four-point scale — from strongly agree to strongly disagree.\(^{10}\) The scale measures state self-esteem by asking the respondents to reflect on their current feelings. Finally, the Grit scale (Duckworth et al, 2007) measures perseverance on the efforts or passion for long-term goals and consistency of the interest, which are considered very important skills for achieving goals.

\(^{10}\) The JE evaluation questionnaire collected the 10 items of the RSE scale. Specifically, individuals were asked to report how much they agree (in a four points scale: 1-strongly agree; 2-agree; 3-disagree; and, 4-strongly disagree) with each of the following statements: (1) on the whole, I am satisfied with myself; (2) at times, I think I am no good at all; (3) I feel that I have a number of good qualities; (4) I am able to do things as well as most other people; (5) I feel I do not have much to be proud of; (6) I certainly feel useless at times; (7) I feel that I am a person of worth, at least on an equal plane with others; (8) I wish I could have more respect for myself; (9) all in all, I am inclined to feel that I am a failure; and (10) I take a positive attitude toward myself.
The Grit scale is a multiple choice test with five possible answers from “no similar to my personality at all” to “very similar to my personality”.

Finally, using the following specification, we explore whether the JE affects teenage pregnancy heterogeneously by the mentioned channels:

\[
\text{pregnant}_{ic} = \alpha + \rho X_i + \tau y_i^j + \beta (Z_i \ast y_i^j) + \gamma t_i + \delta_c + \epsilon_{ic}
\]  

(4)

The variables included in \( X_i \) are whether the woman is married/cohabiting and whether she is already mother or not. The \( y_i^j \) variables separately estimated in this equation are the already mentioned measures of non-cognitive skills, an index of expectations of personal life, and the time devoted to leisure.

4. Results

This section presents the OLS estimates of the ITT effect of the JE program on pregnancy. The analysis of the channels through which the program affects pregnancy is also presented. In all the specifications the standard errors are clustered at the course level. A time dummy for whether the follow-up interview took place in 2011 is also included in all the models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Overall JE effects on pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intention to Treat Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean dep. var for control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the course level in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

Table 1 shows the ITT effects of the JE training program on pregnancy (equation 1 in Section 3). Similar to the findings of Ibarraan et al. (2012), we find that the program reduces the likelihood of
pregnancy in about 3 percentage points for all women. However, as models (2) and (3) show, this is driven by the youngest group of women. The JE program reduces the probability of pregnancy in 6 percentage points (48 percent higher) for teenagers aged 16–19 years, while it has no effect on women aged 20-30 years.

The following tables focus on the youngest sample. Table 2 explores whether the significantly negative effect of JE on teenage pregnancy holds, after controlling for covariates associated to family characteristics and the probability of pregnancy. This table shows the estimation of equation (2) where \( x_i \) corresponds either to a dummy variable for whether the woman is married/cohabiting (Model 1, on the left) or to the number of children (Model 2, on the right).

Table 2: JE and family characteristics effects on pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intention to Treat Effects</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>-0.0387*</td>
<td>-0.0475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0216)</td>
<td>(0.0347)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_i )</td>
<td>0.1663***</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0451)</td>
<td>(0.0262)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment*( x_i )</td>
<td>-0.0407</td>
<td>-0.0144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0511)</td>
<td>(0.0283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean dep. var for control group</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \( x_i \) corresponds to a dummy variable for whether women is married/cohabiting in model (1) and to the number of children in model (2). Robust standard errors clustered at the course level in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

As expected, being married or cohabiting increases the probability of pregnancy among all teenagers.\(^{11}\) Even though JE does not affect married/cohabiting and “single” women differently (coefficient on the interaction term in Model 1) Table 2 shows that the JE decreases the probability of teenage pregnancy for “single” women.

\(^{11}\) The linear combination of the coefficient on ‘Women married or cohabiting’ and ‘Women married or cohabiting*Treatment’ is 0.1256, significant at the 99% level.
On the other hand, Model 2 shows that the JE reduces the probability of pregnancy for the teenagers who are already mothers.\textsuperscript{12} JE also seems to reduce the probability of pregnancy for teenagers who are not mothers in about 5 percentage points (coefficient on Treatment), although estimates are not statistically significant. In general, having an extra child reduces the probability of pregnancy for all the teenagers in the sample, but this negative effect is larger within teenagers in the treatment group.\textsuperscript{13} The results point out that the effects of the JE program are larger among teenagers (16-19 years old), who are not married or cohabiting and have already children.

We turn now to analyze the effect of JE on the theoretical channels through which the program might affect teenage pregnancy. Table 3 corresponds to the estimation of equation (3), for the different dependent variables $y_t$ (CPS score, the Rosenberg scale, the Grit scale, an expectations index and the individual leisure time). In terms of soft-skills, JE substantially increases the CPS and Grit scores. Similarly, it increases the teenagers’ expectations about their future. On the other hand, we find evidence that JE does not affect the Rosenberg self-esteem scale or the total leisure time of teenagers.\textsuperscript{14}

The results of Table 3 point out that the negative impacts of the JE on teenage pregnancy happen through non-cognitive skills and expectation channels instead of through an incapacitation effect (i.e. time allocation to leisure activities).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intention to Treat Effects</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total CPS score</td>
<td>0.2033**</td>
<td>-0.0111</td>
<td>0.2164**</td>
<td>0.3212***</td>
<td>4.0235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean dep. var for control group</td>
<td>-0.0611</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
<td>1031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the course level in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

\textsuperscript{12} The linear combination of the coefficient on ‘Treatment’ and ‘Number of children *Treatment’ is -0.0619, significant at the 95\% level.

\textsuperscript{13} The linear combination of the coefficient on ‘Number of children’ and ‘Number of children *Treatment’ is -0.0124 and no significant at the usual levels.

\textsuperscript{14} JE does not have any significant effect on leisure time devoted to social activities nor on time devoted to being with teenager’s partner/boyfriend (results available upon request).
Finally, Table 4 explores in more detail how the JE program affects pregnancy through the non-cognitive channels. We exploit that, at baseline, the JE collected the Rosenberg’s scale for all individuals in the sample. Therefore, Table 4 corresponds to the estimation of equation (4) of pregnancy as a function of the treatment; the score on the Rosenberg’s self-esteem scale; the interaction of these terms; dummies for whether the teenager is married/cohabiting and whether she is already a mother or not; a time dummy for whether the follow-up interview took place in 2011; and fixed effects at course level.

The top part of Table 4 shows the evaluation of the treatment effect at different points of the distribution of the Rosenberg’s scale. The bottom part instead shows the interaction term of the Rosenberg’s scale and Treatment, and the marginal effect of the corresponding indicator for teenagers in the control group.

Table 4: JE effects on pregnancy through self-esteem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pregnant</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment at percentil 5 of xi</td>
<td>-0.0085 (0.0401)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment at percentil 10 of xi</td>
<td>-0.0169 (0.0314)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment at percentil 25 of xi</td>
<td>-0.0295 (0.0211)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment at percentil 50 of xi</td>
<td>-0.0421** (0.0191)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment at percentil 75 of xi</td>
<td>-0.0547** (0.0274)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment at percentil 90 of xi</td>
<td>-0.0547** (0.0274)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment at percentil 95 of xi</td>
<td>-0.0589* (0.0313)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xi when Treatment=0</td>
<td>0.0097 (0.0159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xi*Treatment</td>
<td>-0.0156 (0.0189)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations 568

Note: xi corresponds to Rosenberg’s scale score. Robust standard errors clustered at the course level in parentheses. Other covariates included are: whether woman is married/cohabiting; and, whether is pregnant of the first child. ***p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1.

Table 4 shows that the difference in the effect of self-esteem on pregnancy between treatment and control groups is not statistically significant at traditional levels. However, the protective role of self-esteem for the treatment group, relative to the control group, seems to increase when the Rosenberg’s test score increases. Differences between control and treatments are statistically significant at percentiles 50, 75, 90 and 95). Teenagers with initial higher self-esteem taking the JE
training are about 4 to 6 percentage points less likely of being pregnant than those in the control group (difference in levels).

The results point out that the effects of the JE program are larger among teenagers (16-19 years old), who are not married or cohabiting and have already children. Moreover, the evidence suggests that the negative effect of JE on pregnancy is largest for teenagers with initial higher levels of self-esteem.

5. Conclusions and future research

This paper examines more thoroughly the impact of the Juventud y Empleo training program in the Dominican Republic on teenage pregnancy. The program’s components of soft skills and technical training are expected to have an impact on labor market outcomes but they could also impact other unexpected outcomes, for instance risky behaviors such as cigarette, alcohol and drug consumption, sexual behavior and teenage pregnancy.

Our results show that the JE program reduces the probability of pregnancy by about 3 percentage points for all women and these impacts are much larger for women aged 16-19 (6 percentage points or 48 percent) and for those who are not married\cohabiting and are already mothers. We also find that the program improves the non-cognitive skills of young women (measured through different scores) and their expectations about the future. However, we do not find evidence that the JE program changes time allocation of young women, which suggest that the main channels through which the program affects pregnancy are the two previously mentioned and not an incapacitation effect. Finally, we find that the effect of JE through these channels is not homogeneous. In particular, we find that the JE reduces more the pregnancy probability of teenagers whose initial self-esteem scores (measured by the Rosenberg's scale) are larger.

Even though our analysis shows important effects of the JE on teenage pregnancy, it has some limitations that are worthy to discuss. First, we are not able to disentangle which of the components of the JE, or what combination of them, is affecting teenage pregnancy. A second but less important concern is that the number of women aged 16-19 in our sample is reduced.
Introducing soft skills components in a training program enables young people to plan and think about their future in a more serious and organized manner, becoming more optimistic about their future and realizing the importance of making adequate decisions today, which may reduce the practice of risky behaviors. In contexts of high pregnancy rates, focusing on providing soft skills and vocational training for young girls can have positive outcomes on pregnancy rates and therefore in educational attainment and labor market outcomes. In contexts where the female labor participation is limited, it would be worth promoting gender sensitive training programs tailored to the local context, focus on making non-stereotypical trades attractive to women and including placement and counseling services, in order to improve young women labor market outcomes.

In this sense, the findings of this paper reinforce the idea that there is a need for state intervention in the challenge of high teenage pregnancy rates. It is necessary to implement a comprehensive set of public policies that go beyond just giving information about planning methods. The policies should also have an impact on improving expectations about the future, augmenting their self-esteem and other soft skills, especially for the poorest in the population.\footnote{In the case of the Dominican Republic, an Inter-Agency Technical Committee for the Prevention of Teen Pregnancy presented the “Strategic Plan to Prevent Teen Pregnancy: Toward a National Policy”. This plan is being implemented but has not been evaluated yet.}

It is necessary to increase the debate about which are the policy tools are the appropriate and cost-effective in order to decrease teenage pregnancy rates in Latin America and the Caribbean. Even though the primary goal of labor training programs is to improve the opportunities of finding a good job, they seem to have a role in reducing teenage pregnancy, once they include training in soft skills. One question that remains is if this is the most effective way to go in order to reduce teenage pregnancy or if other policies should be designed, implemented and evaluated in the Region.
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Figure 1. Adolescent fertility rate

![Adolescent fertility rate in Latin America and the Caribbean (births per 1,000 women ages 15-19)](image)


Figure 2. Comparison among the Dominican Republic, LAC and the world.
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